05 APR -5 PM 2: 23

CLERK, J.S. BANKRUPTCY CT. EASTERN DIST. OF CA. SACRAHENTO. CA

Telephone: (530) 621-4233 Telecopier: (530) 626-3081

Attorney for Debtor

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BASSEL BAROUDI,

Debtor.

GOLDEN ROAD MOTOR INN, INC., dba ATLANTIS CASINO RESORT,

Plaintiff,

Adversary No. 05-2101-C

Case No. 04-29793-C-7

TO COMPLAINT ANSWER **DETERMINE DISCHARGEABILITY OF**

BASSEL M. BAROUDI,

Defendant.

COMES NOW Defendant by and through his attorney of record and answers the Complaint to Determine Dischargeability of Debt as follows:

- 1. In answer to Paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 2. In answer to Paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 3. In answer to Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
 - 4. In answer to Paragraph 4 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations

17

20

21 22

23 24

26 27

25

28

contained therein.

- 5. In answer to Paragraph 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein based on lack of information and belief.
- 6. In answer to Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
- 7. In answer to Paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
- 8. In answer to Paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
- 9. In answer to Paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein based on lack of information and belief.
- 10. In answer to Paragraph 10 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
- 11. In answer to Paragraph 11 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein based on lack of information and belief.
- 12. In answer to Paragraph 12 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Failure to state cause of action;
- 2. Action dischargeable in Chapter 13 bankruptcy;
- 3. Plaintiff was aware of status of account or did not request information;
- 4. Plaintiff failed to mitigate damages;
- 5. Lack of scienter, lack of actionable intent and/or reckless indifference to actual facts; and
- 6. No justifiable reliance based on information the creditor either knew or had red flags and should have known had it taken reasonably commercial steps before granting additional credit.
 - 7. It is against California public policy to enforce gambling debts and California has

a public interest in applying California law.

- 8. Debtor's delivery of checks or markers did not make a false statement or representation, nor does tendering a check constitute the alleged implied representations.
 - 9. Debtor intended to honor any alleged checks or markers at the time of issuance.
- 10. There was no nondisclosure of material facts by debtor under which he had a duty to disclose.
 - 11. Debtor had a longstanding record of repaying gambling debts.

Dated:

4/6/05

JAMES L. BRUNELLO Attorney for Debtor/Defendant